### राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

#### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com





(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/AP/Akajan-Likabali/Balance Work/2020 23 6

Date: 29.01.2021

To

All Respective Bidders,

Subject: - Construction of Balance work of 2 - Laning of existing Akajan-Likabali-Bame Road on EPC basis from design Km 33.00 to Km 65.810 (Existing km 36.00 to km 71.00) in the state of Arunachal Pradesh under SARDP-NE- Financial Bid Opening-Reg.

Sir,

Refer Tender ID: **2020\_NHIDC\_603124\_1** with bid due date 14.01.2021.

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The minutes of technical evaluation is enclosed.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s LNS Infrastructures	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Shivam Transcon Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Ramjo Pordung JV M/s Zeliezhu & Sons	Technically Responsive

2. Financial bid of technical responsive bidders shall be opened on 01/02/2021 at 1500 hrs in NHIDCL, HQ, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, PTI building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Encl.- As above.

General Manager (Tech.)

### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2<sup>na</sup> Minutes of Meetings of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) for "Construction of Balance work of 2 – Laning of existing Akajan-Likabali-Bame Road on EPC basis from design Km 33.00 to Km 65.810 (Existing km 36.00 to km 71.00) in the state of Arunachal Pradesh under SARDP-NE" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at 1130 Hrs on 29.01.2021.

- 1. The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 14.01.2021 at 1500 hrs.
- 2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.
  - (i) M/s LNS Infrastructures
  - (ii) M/s Shivam Transcon Pvt. Ltd.
  - (iii) M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.
  - (iv) M/s Ramjo Pordung JV M/s Zeliezhu & Sons
- 3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs 118 Crore.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	118.00
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	59
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	35.4
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	11.80
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	17.70
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c) )	5.90
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	5.90
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	5.90
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.54
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.18
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	17.70
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	10.62
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.54

Ajough

m

Agh

MM

Page 1 of 7

15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	59
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	35.40
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	11.80

- 4. The Evaluation Committee during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its first meeting had decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.
- 5. In Continuation to 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting of **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** held on 18.01.2021, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the TEC in 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 25.01.2021. The remarks of TEC w.r.t the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received by the bidder	NHIDCL's Comment
1	M/s LNS			The reply submitted by the
	Infrastructures	(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal	(i) The bidder has	bidder has been scrutinized by
		does not show the	submitted the UDIN	the committee and found to be
		turnover of last 5	number which reflect	in order. Since the bidder is
		years. Please clarify.	turnover of last 5 years.	technically and financially
				eligible. Hence the committee
		(ii) Cost of tender	(ii) NEFT receipt details for	decided to consider the bid as
		reference number does	Cost of tender provided.	Technically responsive.
		not match with the		
		record, please clarify.	(iii) The bidder has also	
- 3			submitted the Name of	
			the firm with TAN No	
			from whom the amount	
			has been received as	
			per Annex-IV of	
			Appendix -IA of	
			Certificate duly signed	
			by the Statutory	
			Auditor.	
2	M/s Shivam	(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal	(i) It is stated that their	The reply submitted by the
	Transcon Pvt.	does not show turnover	Chartered Accountant	bidder has been scrutinized by
	Ltd.	and Net worth of last 5	has intimated that	the committee and found to be
		years. Please clarify.	UDIN has been	in order. Since the bidder is
			introduced to stop	technically and financially
			forgery and to establish	eligible. Hence the committee
			the authenticity of the	decided to consider the bid as

AjoyA

m

M

MM

Page 2 of 7

			documents. Therefore,	Technically responsive.
			some part of the	
			certificate is uploaded	
			in UDIN to ensure that	
			material part of	
			information is available	
			in the domain to ensure	
			authenticity of the	
			certificate.	
			However, their	
-			Chartered Accountant	
			has now issued fresh	
			Turn Over Certificate	
			and Net Worth	
			Certificates with all	
			details uploaded on	
			ICAI.	
			(ii) The bidder has also	
			submitted the Name of	
			the firm with TAN No	
			from whom the amount	
			has been received as	
			per Annex-IV of	
			Appendix -IA of	
			Certificate duly signed	
			by the Statutory	
			Auditor.	
3	M/s Shreehari	(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal	(i) The bidder has	The reply submitted by the
	Associates Pvt.	does not show turnover	submitted the UDIN	bidder has been scrutinized by
	Ltd.	and Net worth of last 5	number which reflect	the committee and found that
		years. Please clarify.	turnover of last 5	Bidder has provided the letter
			years.	approving sub-contracting from
		(ii) For consideration of	(ii) Bidder has provided	Authority as per Annex-IV of
		single work under	experience certificate	Appendix-IA of RFP for the
		category 1 & 3,	from the authority for	project code "a" only and not for
		experience certificate	consideration of single	Project code <b>'b</b> ", hence, project
		from the authority could		code " <b>b</b> " has not been
		not be located .Please	& 3.	considered for threshold
		identify the page	(iii) As asked	capacity as per clause
		number and clarify.	telephonically, bidder	2.2.2.2(i). The project Code " <b>a</b> "
,			has submitted the	, " <b>c</b> " and " <b>d</b> " have been
			Name of the firm with	considered, wherein the bidder
			1	Page 3 of 7
			4	

HjayA

Im

Mr

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			TAN No from whom the amount has been received as per Annex-IV of Appendix –IA of Certificate duly signed by the Statutory Auditor.	has worked as main contractor or as Sub contractor and certificates from the Authority has also been enclosed. The Minimum Technical threshold capacity obtained by bidder is 139.15 Crs from project code "a", "c" and "d", whereas, required as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) minimum Technical threshold capacity required is 59.0 Crs. Committee observed that the minimum Technical threshold capacity obtained is more than that required as per RFP. Hence, the committee considers the bid of M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd as Technically responsive.
4	M/s Ramjo	M/s Ramjo Pordung	M/s Ramjo Pordung	
	Pordung JV	(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal	(i) The bidder has	The reply submitted by the
	M/s Zeliezhu &	does not show the	submitted the UDIN	bidder has been scrutinized by
	Sons	turnover of last 5 years	number which reflect	the committee. It was observed
		for. Please clarify.	turnover of last 5 years.	that the bidder has not provided
				the TAN No. of Authority in C.A
		(ii) To clarify for the	(ii)Bidder has provided for	Certificate as required vide
		consideration of single	consideration of single	Annex-IV of Appendix-IA.
		work under category 1	work under category 1 &	However, Committee noted that
		&3.	3.	the bidder has worked as main
			//// B: []	contractor in all the projects
			(iii) Bidder has submitted	Code specified and also enclosed
		M/s Zeliezhu & Sons	that it will take time to collect TAN No. from	the requisite certificates from
			concerned Govt	the Authority which are all
		(i) To clarify for the	Agencies, as sought	Government Agencies. Bidder
		consideration of single work under category 1	telephonically, to submit	has submitted that it will take time to collect TAN No. from
		&3.	Name of the firm & TAN	concerned Government
		Q.5.	No. for amount	agencies. Committee deliberated
			received as per Annex-	on the issue and since bidder
			IV of Appendix -IA of	has worked as main contractor
			Certificate duly signed	and submitted certificates from
			by the Statutory	the Government Authorities,

Page 4 of 7

Hjays

My

fh

Auditor.	hence, the committee decided to
M/s Zeliezhu & Sons	consider the bid as Technically
(i) Bidder has provided for	responsive
consideration of single	
work under category 1 8	
3.	

- 6. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as Annexure –I.
- 7. The **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s LNS Infrastructures	Technically Responsive	1- Arunachal Pradesh (ICBR Project)
2	M/s Shivam Transcon Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	1 – Arunachal Pradesh
3	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
4	M/s Ramjo Pordung JV M/s Zeliezhu & Sons	Technically Responsive	0

8. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 4(Four) technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

Ajay Ahulwalia (ED-I)

Chairman

B. Shivprasad (GM-Tech)

Member

A.K. Uha

Member

Bhaskar Mallick Manager -Fin.

Member

### Annexure - I

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum Technical threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2	Similar work from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2.2(ii) = Rs.	least 60 % of total threshold	Share (at least
e Sang		(i)=Rs. 59.00 Cr.	17.70 Cr.	capacity) i.e. Rs. 35.40 Cr.	1.3. 11.00 01.
1	M/s LNS Infrastructures	102.95 Cr	Yes (Rs 102.95 Cr)	NA	NA
2	M/s Shivam Transcon Pvt. Ltd.	79.83 Cr	Yes ( Rs 63.39 Cr)	NA	NA
3	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	139.15 Cr	Yes ( Rs 18.11 Cr)	NA	NA
4	M/s Ramjo Pordung JV M/s Zeliezhu & Sons	NA	Yes ( Rs 24.40 Cr)	55.82	26.14

		Summary o	f Financial Ev	aluation		
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Claimed Net Worth (in INR 5.90 Crores)	Turnover (in INR 17.70 Crores)	
1.	M/s LNS Infrastructures	SE	-	6.36 Cr	23.11 Cr	Υ
2.	M/s Shivam Transcon Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	94.61 Cr	150.84 Cr	Υ
3.	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	47.21 Cr	80.00 Cr	Y
4.	M/s Ramjo Pordung JV M/s Zeliezhu & Sons	JV	60-40	Lead - 7.36 Cr Other -40.08 Cr	Lead - 27.47 Cr Other -96.50 Cr	Y

Hjorgh

My

KK W

Page 6 of 7

## Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment

# Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity = Rs. 59.00 Crore

		Calculated / Assessed							
S No	Name of the Applicant	Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover X Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	Whether Qualifyin g or Not
1	M/s LNS Infrastructures	2017	1.15	44.88	51.61	1.5	0	193.55	Yes
2	M/s Shivam Transcon Pvt. Ltd.	2017	1.15	150.81	173.43	1.5	95.53	554.84	Yes
3	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	2020	1.0	99.41	99.41	1.5	117.8	254.97	Yes
4	M/s Ramjo Pordung JV M/s Zeliezhu & Sons								
	M/s Ramjo Pordung	2020	1.0	40.86	40.86	1.5	32.28	120.95	Yes
	M/s Zeliezhu & Sons	2019	1.05	119.77	125.76	1.5	1.49	470.10	Yes
						4	Total	591.05	Yes

Higging

(m)